

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/010,310	Applicant(s) GEORGES, ELIAS	
	Examiner Gailene R. Gabel	Art Unit 1641	

All Participants:

(1) Gailene R. Gabel.

(2) Dr. Ann Kerner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
of record

Prior art documents discussed:
of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Indicated to Dr. Kerner that the claims are free of prior art. However, upon further consideration of the claims, in light of the specification, it was suggested that claims 10 and 26 should include the limitation "short" to effect that the recited "overlapping peptides" encompass those that are "short overlapping peptides" so as to delimit the scope of the claims consistent with that taught in Applicant's disclosure (specification filed on 2/26/04) at page 24, lines 1-24 and page 27, lines 8-25. Dr. Kerner gave the Office authorization to amend the claims accordingly, including 1) changing the term "a segment" to --the segment-- in step f of claims 10 and 26, and amending the brief description of the drawings to reflect that A, B, C, and D, for each of the figures such as Figure 2, refer to 2A, 2B, 2C, and 2C, etc. respectively.